City of Las Vegas

Agenda Item No.: 4.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: AUGUST 4, 2009

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: AUGUST 4, 2009			
DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNE	\mathbf{Y}		
DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. J.	ERBIC	Consent	◯ Discussion
SUBJECT:			
Bill No. 2009-33 – Revises the zoning 33703) Sponsored by: Councilman St		wind energy sy	stems. (TXT-
Fiscal Impact No Impact Budget Funds Available	Augmentation Requi	ired	
Amount:	= 1 10		
Funding Source:	FLAS		
Dept./Division:			
PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:			
This bill will revise the zoning regulat			
zoning districts and circumstances in v			
residential and nonresidential developi			
as with City plans and policies that end			
revisions include provisions to ensure	that the use of such systems i	is compatible w	ıtn
surrounding uses.		/	
RECOMMENDATION:			
This bill should be submitted to a Reco	ommending Committee for re	eview, hearing a	ınd
recommendation to the City Council for			
BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:	VEVADE		
Bill No. 2009-33			

Motion made by STAVROS S. ANTHONY to Approve as First Amendment

Passed For: 2; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

STAVROS S. ANTHONY, RICKI Y. BARLOW; (Against-None); (Abstain-None); (Did Not

Vote-None); (Excused-None)

Minutes:

FLINN FAGG, Planning and Development Department, explained that this bill would change current City regulations regarding wind energy systems. Wind energy systems would be allowed in some commercial districts, there would be no minimum parcel size requirement and the setbacks would be different if the parcel is less than or greater than 20,000 square feet. When a parcel is less than 20,000 square feet, the wind energy system would be required to be installed on the principal structure.

City of Las Vegas

Agenda Item No.: 4.

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: AUGUST 4, 2009

COUNCILMAN ROSS thanked staff for bringing this bill to the Recommending Committee and he thanked the Planning Commission for their hard work. He explained that this bill is an important symbol in establishing the City as a leader in sustainability. He proposed several amendments which included allowing the homeowner, a structural engineer or contract to decide whether or not to place the wind energy systems on the principal structure. Homes that are not currently up to Code would not be able to participate in this program without this change. He recommended that a Special Use Permit be required for wind energy systems on parcels smaller than 20,000 square feet or if more than one wind energy system is desired on the property. This will ensure the neighbors receive adequate notification. He also made several recommendations on the appropriate height of the systems for each zoning designation and stated he would provide his proposed amendments to CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY VAL STEED.

MR. FAGG suggested allowing staff an opportunity to rewrite the ordinance to verify that it reads correctly and COUNCILMAN ROSS expressed his opposition to holding the bill in abeyance. He explained that several months of work have gone into this bill and recommended moving it forward.

In response to COUNCILMAN BARLOW'S question, MR. FAGG explained that if a homeowner wished to install a wind energy system attached to the primary structure, that use would be allowed as a conditional use.

In response to COUNCILMAN ANTHONY'S inquiry, COUNCILMAN ROSS explained that there are several different wind energy systems with the typical system consisting of a pole with a wind generator and a propeller. He pointed out that the technology has improved and reduced the noise generated by the system. NANCY TABOR, McLane Electric, thanked staff for their hard work on this bill and shared pictures of different wind energy systems with COUNCILMEN BARLOW and ANTHONY. She noted that several customers were interested in this technology and were waiting for changes in the Code which would allow them to take advantage of the rebate programs supporting renewable energy.

CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY STEED suggested that the language requiring the Special Use Permit is unnecessary as the Code currently requires a Special Use Permit if a property owner cannot obtain a conditional use permit due to special circumstances. He noted that this ordinance could not address Building Code issues, but COUNCILMAN ROSS' other proposed changes could be discussed during the City Council meeting.